

ALLAN TITFORD'S APPEAL DENIED

Allan Titford has had his Appeal to an extension of time to file, An Application for Leave to Appeal, dismissed. This again is a deliberate attempt to deny justice to man that was never given a fair trial. See documents below.

Allan had freehold title to a 1650-acre farm at Maunganui Bluff in 1986. At the time of purchase unbeknown to him, Te Roroa had placed a claim on part of his farm called Manuwetai.

For 7 years Allan fought this claim, but in 1995, the Crown forced him from his land. The payment he received barely paid for his legal fees to try and keep his farm.

In 2009 Allan's wife Susan became frustrated with Allan trying to get compensation for his lost property. She wrote to Barrister Greg Denholm asking him, *"If Allan was in jail would she get control of his Trusts"*. She also wrote to the Minister of Justice, Hon Simon Power asking how she could escape being charged with Perjury. The Minister said if she could prove she was forced to lie in Court she would be excused. Susan wanted control of the finances.

She then talked to Minister, Hon John Carter who said the Crown would give her immunity if she would help the Crown to find Allan guilty of charges that would put him in prison.

John Carter asked Susan to write a list of charges which she gave to him who forwarded it onto the Police. The Police removed some charges and added many others.

Susan also promised her children \$5000 each if they would testify against their father in Court. One child writing, *"And all the stuff we had to write and say about Dad. I didn't understand any of it. I tried to ask about it but just got told to do it"*.

Allan's trial began on the 2 September 2013 under Judge Duncan Harvey. Susan, her brother and her children gave their evidence without any documents or witnesses to substantiate it and with little, if any cross examination. What ever they said was taken as the truth.

Allan was refused any witnesses in his defence and everything he said was taken as a lie by the Court. His solicitor John Moroney spending little time preparing his case.

Before he was sentenced, Allan's sister-in-law, Sheryll Titford, who never really liked Allan and his aunty, Ileen McGrath gave affidavits to the Police that Susan father Graham Cochrane had burn the house down at Maunaganui Bluff and not Allan, but this was withheld from the Court by the Police.

A claim for this land had also been lodged in 1939 by Te Roroa and after a full hearing, it was found by Chief Judge Shepherd that there was no evidence that Te Roroa still owned Manuwhetai. The whole block had been sold to the Crown without any mention of a reserve called Manuwhetai, only Taharoa. The claim was rejected by Parliament in 1942.

No fresh evidence was brought to the Waitangi Tribunal, but the Tribunal, as usual, twisted the truth and recommended the Crown not only return Manuwhetai but both Allan's and Mr Donny Harrison's farms to Te Roroa.

When the Minister of Justice and Minister of Treaty Negotiations signed Mr Titford's Deed of Sale he acknowledged on the Deed of Sale that Manuwhetai was only an "alleged" claim. No evidence had been presented to the Waitangi Tribunal that this land belonged to Te Roroa.

There is no doubt, Mr Titford is a "Political Prisoner" to stop him from telling of the corruption within the Crown and our Justice system to steal an innocent New Zealand Citizen's freehold titled farm for Te Roroa's "alleged" land claim, but the Crown still will not allow him a fair trial.

For further information, "***Why Allan Titford was Jailed for Twenty-four Years***", by the ONZF.

CLICK ON THE FILES BELOW TO DOWNLOAD...

22 January 2019

Auckland South Corrections Facility
21 Kiwi Tamaki Road
Wiri
Auckland 2104
PRN: 8350871
Allan Titford

Dear Mr Titford,

TITFORD: Your application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court
Our Ref: LTA365/840

I refer to your application for **leave** to appeal your conviction and sentence to the Supreme Court.

I **attach** a copy of the submissions I have filed with the Supreme Court today. The Supreme Court usually deal with these **applications** on the papers (without a hearing), so you should expect to hear from **them** in due **course**.

Yours sincerely
Crown Law



Zannah Johnston
Crown Counsel

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 104/2018

CA854/2013

IN THE MATTER OF

An appeal that a substantial miscarriage
of justice has occurred

BETWEEN

ALLAN JOHN TITFORD

Appellant

AND

THE CROWN

Respondent



APPELLANT'S SUBMISSION

To the Registrar of the Supreme Court

I, Allan John Titford, the *appellant* in the proceeding identified above, through this submission, wish to advance my application for the leave of the Supreme Court to appeal against the July 31, 2017, judgement CA 854/2013 NZCA 331 Titford v The Queen, which declined application for leave to adduce fresh evidence, and dismissed both my appeal against conviction and appeal against sentence. That appeal resulted from the guilty verdicts and cumulative sentences of over 24 years being CRI-2010-029-001480 Titford v The Queen that was tried in the Whangarei District Court starting September 2, 2013.

My appeal to the Supreme Court is that the trial process and misdirection by the Judge created a miscarriage of justice.

1. Basic facts

I was tried on 51 counts and two alternative counts in September of 2013 in the Whangarei District Court. Susan Cochrane and her brother Richard were the main sources of testimony against me. I was found guilty of 39 counts 11 of which were majority verdicts. The guilty counts were: Three of rape, four of violent offences against Susan Cochrane; 24 of violence against the children; one for arson, one for attempted arson; one for fraudulent use of a document; one of perjury and one of attempting to pervert the course of justice; one of threatening to kill Susan and one of threatening to kill Richard Cochrane; and one of reckless discharge of a firearm. The jury took about a day and a half to deliberate. Each charge was considered for around 10 minutes. I received a cumulative sentence of 24 years.

This case was appealed in 2017 on the following grounds: incapacity to plea due to mental illness; new evidence from witnesses; insufficient time to prepare an adequate defence; lack

ajt.

NOTE: ORDER PROHIBITING PUBLICATION OF THE INFORMATION IN [68] OF THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF APPEAL IN NEWS MEDIA OR ON THE INTERNET OR OTHER PUBLICLY AVAILABLE DATABASE REMAINS IN FORCE. PUBLICATION IN LAW REPORT OR LAW DIGEST PERMITTED.

NOTE: PUBLICATION OF NAMES OR IDENTIFYING PARTICULARS OF WITNESSES UNDER 17 YEARS OF AGE PROHIBITED BY S 139A OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT 1985.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

I TE KŌTI MANA NUI

**SC 104/2018
[2019] NZSC 22**

BETWEEN	ALLAN JOHN TITFORD Applicant
AND	THE QUEEN Respondent

Court: Glazebrook, O'Regan and Ellen France JJ
Counsel: Applicant in person
Z R Johnston and Z A Fuhr for Respondent
Judgment: 5 March 2019

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for an extension of time to file an application for leave to appeal is dismissed.

REASONS

Introduction

[1] Mr Titford was convicted after a trial before a jury of 39 charges encompassing a range of offending over a 22 year period. Mr Titford was acquitted of 14 charges. He was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 24 years with no minimum period of