

ONE NEW ZEALAND FOUNDATION INC

P.O. Box 7113, Pioneer Hwy, Palmerston North, Email: ONZF@bigpond.com.au

16 June 2014.

Mr Michael Heron, QC,
Solicitor General,
Crown Law Office,
Wellington,
New Zealand,

Dear Sir,

Re: Mr Allan Titford must be given a retrial where all the evidence is presented to the Court.

We are disappointed you have failed to address our letters while a man rots in jail for 24 years because you and your predecessors will not admit that the Crown Law Office helped the Crown steal a freehold titled farm from an *innocent* man to help settle Te Roroa's *alleged* Treaty of Waitangi claim, a claim that had been rejected by Parliament in 1942 after a judicial inquiry by Chief Judge Shepherd in 1939.

We believe its time the Solicitor General stopped hiding behind the Chief Ombudsman, Beverley Wakem's outdated report, which has been proved with documented evidence that her findings were incorrect and did your own research into how staff at the Crown Law Office manipulated documents to steal a freehold titled farm from an innocent New Zealand Citizen.

Evidence supplied by the Crown Law Office shows they wrote a completely new Sale Agreement and Deed, which Mr Titford was forced to sign *under duress* and *without legal advice* or bankrupted by the Rural/National Bank that had worked with the Crown to build up debts against Mr Titford of \$2.25 million. A fact admitted by the Crown Law Office by including a clause in its Sale Agreement stopping Mr Titford from suing the Bank and further documents, which we both have on file.

At Mr Titford's recent trial, two fundamental principles of our legal system were breached.

- 1. The criminal justice system must be, and must be seen to be, free from political interference.**
- 2. One of the most crucial aspects of a fair legal trial is the right to call witnesses on both sides; Mr Titford was never given this opportunity at his recent trial.**

Evidence we have on file show:

1. The Hon John Carter, a Minister of the Crown at the time interfered in a matrimonial dispute between Mr and Mrs Titford.
2. The Hon John Carter had a meeting with Mrs Titford and myself on the 9/2/2010 to discuss how Mrs Titford could lay charges against her husband without being charged for Perjury. Mr Carter stressed to me this meeting was strictly confidential. In fact, he phoned me and emailed me later to confirm this. The Minister of Justice had previously advised Mrs Titford by letter on how to elude Perjury charges.
3. The Hon John Carter offered Mrs Titford immunity if she made a list of charges against her husband Mr Titford going back to 1987.
4. The Hon John Carter contacted and had a long discussion with Mrs Titford's lawyer.
5. The Police took over the charges against Mr Titford, *"To change charges, either throw some out, add new ones or what ever they think fit"*, Email from Susan Titford. 15/3/11.
6. The Police interviewed the Titford's children behind closed doors then edited the tapes before presenting them to the court but this was never cross-examined.

7. It was stated at the Court, Mrs Titford offered her children \$5000 each if they would testify against their father.
8. No mention was made to the Court of Mrs Titford, the Police or CYFS allowing Mrs Titford's 15-year old daughter to sleep with a 23-year old man and become pregnant. This was about 12 months after Mr and Mrs Titford had separated.
9. No mention was made that Mrs Titford and her family would beat her daughter and starve her if the Police sent her home. The Police and CYFS allowed her to remain with the 23 year old man after she had run away from home therefore, they must have followed up on her fears.
10. The Police never charged the 23-year man under section "134 of the Crimes Act" or the family for alleged child abuse. Was this because any charges of this nature would discredit the Crown's key witnesses?
11. If Mrs Titford had been raped and abused in such a small house, then surely the teenage children would have heard it but they made no mention of this during the trial.
12. No medical records of assault, rape or sexual abuse were asked for or doctors cross-examined by Mr Titford's Crown paid lawyer.
13. If James aged about 12 or 14 at the time was hit with a 2 x 2 fence batten, kicked with steel capped boots and jumped on by his father, then surely there would be medical records.
14. Affidavits have come to light that the Police, Mr Titford's Crown paid lawyer and the Crown's solicitor had information before Mr Titford was sentenced that showed Mrs Titford misled the court in charging Mr Titford with arson, but it was withheld from the Court.
15. If Mr Titford's Crown paid lawyer had researched this case and spoken to witnesses then we believe he would have defended Mr Titford and in our view, not helped convict him.
16. Mr Titford's Crown paid lawyer refused to allow Mr Titford to call witnesses in his defense, which would have shown the court that there was political interference in this case by a Minister of the Crown and the Police. We believe Mr Titford's witnesses could have proved many, if not all charges against Mr Titford were false with documented evidence of support.

These are just a few of the question that witnesses could have supplied Mr Moroney in his cross-examination of the Crown's key witnesses but he failed to do so. We believe this resulted in Mr Titford being unfairly jailed for an unprecedented 24 years to silence him over the way the Crown Law Office helped the Crown steal his freehold titled farm *under duress* and *without legal advice* for Te Roroa's "*alleged*" Treaty of Waitangi claim, a fact admitted by the Minister of Justice, the Hon Doug Graham when he initialed and signed the Deed of Sale on behalf of Her Majesty the Queen.

We believe it's time the Crown Law Office admitted to evidence that we both have on file from those involved at the time. The ONZF has supplied you with this evidence but to deny it shows how corrupt the Crown Law Office has become to protect itself and the Crown in stealing a freehold titled farm from an innocent New Zealand Citizen for Te Roroa's "*alleged*" Treaty of Waitangi claim.

Mr Titford must be given a retrial where all the evidence is presented to the court. Without this, how can the people of New Zealand have faith in the Crown, the Justice System or the Crown Law Office?

"Corruption flourishes when those at the top stand by and do nothing"!

Yours sincerely,

Ross Baker.

Researcher, One New Zealand Foundation Inc.

cc. Prime Minister, Hon John Key.

Attorney General, Hon Christopher Finlayson.

This letter will appear on the ONZF website: www.onenzfoundation.co.nz.